

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,950	02/06/2004	Takuo Tamura	16869S-029220US	7934
20350	7590 08/23/2004		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			NGUYEN	I, DAO H
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCI	ISCO, CA 94111-3834	1	2818	-

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AL.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/773,950	TAMURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dao H Nguyen	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Fe</u>	<u>ebruary 2004</u> .					
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	55 O.G. 215.				
Disposition of Claims	·					
4) □ Claim(s) 16-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 16-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 110/s	a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  2. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat	tion No. <u>09/910,314</u> .				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
oco the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the contined copies not receiv	<del>.</del>				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D					

1. In response to the communications dated 02/06/2004, claims 16-21 are active in this application as a result of the cancellation of claims 1-15.

2. This application is a continuation of U.S. Application No. 10/299,218 filed 11/18/2002, which is a continuation of U.S. Application No. 09/910,314 filed 07/19/2001, now U.S. Patent No. 6,657,227, which is related to and claims priority from Japanese Patent Application No. 2000-376561 filed 12/06/2000.

# **Foreign Priority**

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the parent application 09/910,314 filed 07/19/2001.

# **Specification**

4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,950 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claim(s) 17-18 and 20-21 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 17-18 and 20-21, they are not clearly defined and distinctly pointed out the subject matter which is claimed as the Applicant's invention. According to claims 16 and 19, there are two substrates. The first substrate is the one on which the thin film transistor being disposed; and the second substrate is the one comprised within the thin film transistor. Therefore, it is not clear that the substrate(s) cited in claims 17-18 and 20-21 refer(s) to which substrate?

### Claim Rejection - Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225

USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 16 and 19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,657,227 (hereafter '227). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made that a thin film transistor (TFT) is well known to have gate, source and drain regions, and a channel region interposed between the source and drain regions, and electrodes connected to these regions, and that TFT is utilized in various kinds of integrated circuits, especially for a switching device of a matrix circuit in an active matrix type liquid crystal displayer device, or that it has become popular to utilize the TFT as a device of a driver circuit for

Art Unit: 2818

driving the matrix circuit (see also U.S. Patent No. 6,294,441 to Yamazaki, column 1, lines 19-26).

#### Conclusion

- 9. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) day from the day of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see M.P.E.P 710.02(b)).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dao H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)272-1791. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM 6:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571)272-1787. The fax numbers for all communication(s) is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-1625.

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Dao H. Nguyen Art Unit 2818 August 17, 2004